



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/496,135	,135 02/01/2000		Marvin K. Simon	06618/363002/CIT-2885	5769	
20985	7590 03	3/25/2002				
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122				EXAM	EXAMINER	
				GHEBRETINSAL	GHEBRETINSAE, TEMESGHEN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2631		
				D. TELL	D. TELLA V. ED. 00/05/0000	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ON.



UNITED STEEDS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF
PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

# Mailed

MAR 2 6 2002

**Technology Center 2600** 

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Paper No. 13

Application Number: 09/496,135

Filing Date: 2/1/2000 Appellant(s): Simon et al.

Scott C. Harris
For Appellant

# **EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

This is in response to appellant's brief on appeal filed October 22, 2001.

## (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The brief does not contain a statement identifying the related appeals and interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal is contained in the brief. Therefore, it is presumed that there are none. The

Serial Number: 09/496,135 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

Board, however, may exercise its discretion to require an explicit statement as to the existence of any related appeals and interferences.

## (3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

## (4) Status of Amendments After Final

No amendment after final has been filed.

# (5) Summary of Invention

The summary of invention contained in the brief is correct.

## (6) Issues

The appellant's statement of the issues in the brief is correct.

# (7) Grouping of Claims

Appellant's brief includes a statement that claim(s) 6 do not stand or fall together and provides reasons as set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) and (c)(8).

# (8) Claims Appealed

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

# (9) Prior Art of Record

The following is a listing of the prior art of record relied upon in the rejection of claims under appeal.

4,567,602

KATO et al.

6-1986

Serial Number: 09/496,135 Page 3

Art Unit: 2631

## (10) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato et al.

Kato discloses a method for coding signals producing Feher- quadrature phase shift keying (FQPSK) that has no slope discontinuity (see fig.6)

#### (11) Response to Argument

appellant argues that Kato does not disclose FQPSK signal that has no slope discontinuity. Kato does disclose FQPSK signal having no slope discontinuity (see fig. 6). Appellant argues that the signal formed in the '602 patent *may* have a slope discontinuity at the location between adjacent *half symbol* boundaries, and further argues that the present

Serial Number: 09/496,135

Art Unit: 2631

invention shows FQPSK signal that has no slope discontinuity for full symbol waveform.

However, the claimed invention does not disclose the signal being a full symbol waveform.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

T.Ghebretinsae March 22, 2002

TEMESGHEN GHEBRETINSAE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Conferee

1) SPE Chau Nguyen

2)Primary Bocure Tesfaldet

Fish & Richardson P.C.

4350 La Jolla Village Drive, Suit 500

San Diego, California 92122.